

## **RDT Quality Control and Quality Assurance**

RDTs and fever case management in the private health care sector in Africa: a consultative working meeting, Entebbe 20-21 Oct 2015

Dr. Daniel Kyabayinze, Dr. Sandra Incardona, & Dr Iveth Gonzalez Malaria and Acute Febrile Syndrome programme

# The quality of RDTs can be assured!

Only good quality RDTs are procured, based on the WHO RDT Product Testing:

Panel Detection Score (PDS): Score for consistent detection of parasite samples at low parasite densitiy (200 p/ul)



WHO procurement guidelines: PDS for Pf at 200 p/ul  $\geq$  75% PDS for Pv at 200 p/ul  $\geq$  75% False positive rate < 10% Invalid rate < 5%

For every RDT lot coming into the country:

RDTs are Lot Tested in reference laboratories: (RITM, Philippines and IPC, Cambodia) ONLY RDT lots with a PASS report are released for distribution in the countries

RDTs transported and stored in the field :

<u>Follow transport and storage guidelines</u>: If transport/storage is done well, risk of degradation is very low







An implementation guide The essentials for RDT implementation



### Current status of the Programme – 2015: Testing based on <u>frozen blood samples</u>





### Malaria RDT Lot Testing [Stage 2]

- current format -

QC of RDT lots directly after purchase (pre-shipment) or in-country before distribution in the field (post-shipment)

Two WHO-FIND reference Lot Testing laboratories:

- closely supervised, annual EQA assessments
- turnover of 5 days between RDTs receipt and report issue



- Institut Pasteur, Cambodia (IPC)
- Research Institute of Tropical Medicine, Philippines (RITM)

Lot Testing also supported in some other labs

- Nigeria CMUL, in the frame of the 'Private Sector RDTs project'
- NIMR New Delhi, India, with national funding

# The future of the Programme – transition starting 2015/16: Testing based on <u>recombinant panels</u>



# Moving forward with QA/QC of RDTs

It is crucial to build capacity in the countries to be able to:

Conduct their own lot testing, and have local capacity to cross-check any RDTs failing in the field

Do field QC of RDTs, with all the tool becoming available now (troubleshooting guide, PCWs etc.)

Need a clear process for acting on field problems, with RDTs or also accessories



### **Additional slides**

1	<b>Current Programme</b> (Product -/ Lot Testing only)	<b>Future Programme</b> (Product -/Lot Testing + QC in the field)
Panels used for testing	<ul> <li>Infected patient blood or cultured parasites</li> <li>Standardized at low parasite density</li> <li>Stored at -70°C</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Recombinant malaria antigens</li> <li>Standardized at concentrations equivalent to the low parasite density</li> <li>Stored at room T°</li> </ul>
Accessibility of panels	<ul> <li>Wild-type samples: only accessible to WHO-FIND labs</li> <li>Cultured samples: available to manufacturers</li> </ul>	- Same recombinant panels available to all users (under different formats), including national reference labs and manufacturers
Places for testing	- Product Testing at US-CDC - Lot Testing in 2 WHO-FIND labs	<ul> <li>Product Testing in central lab</li> <li>Lot Testing in national reference labs, with confirmatory testing in central lab</li> <li>Field QC by end users (positive control wells)</li> <li>Development/production QC by manufacturer</li> </ul>
Cost and sustainability	<ul> <li>High operating costs</li> <li>Requiring donor funding and unsustainable on the long term</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Low operating costs</li> <li>Can be supported through fees for users of the system</li> <li>Sustainable on the long-term</li> </ul>



Tools being developed, for implementation as part of the UNITAID-funded 'private sector RDTs project':

- 1. Troubleshooting guide
- 2. Protocol for acting on RDT problems in the field
- 3. PCWs for monitoring quality of RDTs at end-users level



### **Troubleshooting guide**

- List of issues encountered in the field, e.g. invalid tests, red background,etc. Recommendations on use of
  - accessories,
  - blood transfer devices
- Possible actions to correct any errors made by the user
- Instructions to follow in case of persistent/frequent problems (reporting to supervisors etc.)





If the problem persists or is critical or occurs frequently 'Frequently' meaning more than 10% of cases (e.g. 3 or more tests/accessories having a problem, out of a box of 25)		
Chain of action	Whom to report to	
<ul> <li>Fill in the reporting form, together with the RDT user</li> </ul>	Name:	
<ul> <li>Take pictures and collect samples of the problematic RDTs or accessories</li> </ul>	Phone number:	
<ul> <li>Report the problem to the diagnostics or QA/QC coordinator</li> </ul>	Name: Title:	
<ul> <li>If instructed, conduct survey of similar problems with other RDT users</li> </ul>	Phone number:	



- Defining who does what in case of field problems, e.g. troubleshooting, verification visits, cross-checking of RDT quality, reporting of confirmed problems, actions such as re-call of RDTs etc.
   Standard report forms to compile relevant info
- All to be implemented in the private sector project
- Coordination with NMCP and regulatory bodies to ensure alignment with each one's roles and responsibilities







- Small polypropylene tubes coated with dried recombinant proteins (HRP2, pLDH and aldolase)
- Concentrations <u>equivalent to 200 parasites per microlitre of</u> <u>blood</u>
- Re-constituted with buffer using a dropstir
- Transferred to a malaria RDT using a transfer device







### QC at end user level with Positive Control Wells (PCWs)

- Publishing field evaluations in Uganda and Laos
- Current status of development:
  - first batches done, ready to be packaged and shipped for field implementation



- Plans:
  - implementation in the private sector project before end 2015
  - use at the supervisors level, as a tool to assess competency, check quality of local RDT stocks, and reassure users
  - FUTURE PLANS: introduce PCWs also in the public sector